

# The Asymptotics of Pion Charge Form Factor.

*A.F. Krutov,<sup>a</sup> V.E. Troitsky<sup>b</sup>*

<sup>a</sup>Samara State University, 443011 Samara, Russia,

<sup>b</sup>Nuclear Physics Institute, Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, Russia

## Abstract

The asymptotics of pion charge form factor is obtained in the framework of relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics for the infinite value limit of momentum transfer and zero value limit of constituent-quark mass. It is shown that this asymptotics is the same as given by the perturbative QCD and is determined by relativistic kinematics only, being independent on the constituent quarks interaction in pion.

It is now well established that the description of the electromagnetic structure of composite quark systems at low and intermediate momentum transfers, i.e. in the region of so called "soft" processes, needs nonperturbative approaches. Moreover, in the investigation of composite systems of light quarks (e.g., the pion) one has to take into account relativistic effects, which give essential contribution at low momentum transfers.

During last years the relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics (RHD) is widely used in this nonperturbative region for the investigation of composite quark systems (the foundation of the approach, references to calculations and some historical aspects can be found in the reviews [1]–[3], see also [4] – [8]). The RHD can be formulated in different ways. The most widely used forms are: the instant form dynamics, the point form dynamics and the light front dynamics. The main feature of RHD *versus* field theories is the extraction of the finite number of the most important degrees of freedom in each concrete case. The establishment of the relation between RHD and space–time field theoretical QCD description is a principal and as yet unresolved problem.

Now it is widely believed that RHD and QCD complement each other. The conventional (perturbative) QCD is considered to give reliable predictions in high–energy region, while

RHD is considered to describe behavior at rather low energy. However, the boundary between perturbative and nonperturbative regions is not well defined. For example, in the case of exclusive processes, including the calculation of elastic form factors for hadrons the boundaries of the perturbative regime are different in different calculations [9].

To discuss the relation between RHD and QCD it seems interesting to compare the predictions of both theories in some energy range. One of surely established QCD predictions concerns the asymptotic behavior at  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$  ( $Q^2 = -q^2$ , where  $q$  is momentum transfer) of the elastic form factors of composite quark systems. This behavior was described by classic quark counting laws (see [10] for the details). For the pion, up to logarithmic corrections, the asymptotics has the form:

$$F_\pi(Q^2) \sim Q^{-2}. \quad (1)$$

In the present paper we show that the asymptotics (1) can be obtained in the frame of RHD instant form [4] if  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M \rightarrow 0$  (where  $M$  is the constituent quark mass), and that the asymptotic behavior has universal character: it does not depend on the choice of concrete interaction model for constituent quarks and is defined by the relativistic kinematics of two-quark system only.

The limits defined above are connected with the following meaning of the physical behavior at large momentum transfers. At  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$  the quarks become free and their mass becomes equal to the initial one (current mass). For the pion with light quarks this means in fact that  $M \rightarrow 0$ . If a dimension parameter  $b$  defines the characteristic hadron scale (the confinement scale), then the limits have the following meaning:  $Q^2 \gg b$ ,  $M \ll b$ .

In our approach the electromagnetic pion form factor is represented in the form of a functional, generated by the so called free two-particle form factor [4]. This free form factor describes the electromagnetic properties of the system of two free particles, and in the case of pion it defines the electromagnetic current matrix element for the system of two free quarks. If the free quarks have the quantum numbers corresponding to pion, then the matrix element has the form [4]:

$$\langle \vec{P}, \sqrt{s}, J, l, S, m_J | j_\mu | \vec{P}', \sqrt{s'}, J', l', S', m_{J'} \rangle =$$

$$= A_\mu(s, Q^2, s') g_0(s, Q^2, s'). \quad (2)$$

Here  $Q^2 = -(P - P')^2$ ,  $s = P^2$  – system invariant mass square,  $J = S = l = 0$ ,  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$  – the free two-particle form factor for the system of particles without interaction. The vector  $A_\mu(s, Q^2, s')$  is determined by the current transformation properties (Lorentz-covariance and current conservation law) [4]. The explicit form of the function  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$  in the case of point quarks was obtained in [11]:

$$g_0(s, Q^2, s') = \frac{(s + s' + Q^2)Q^2}{2\sqrt{(s - 4M^2)(s' - 4M^2)}} \frac{\theta(s, Q^2, s')}{[\lambda(s, -Q^2, s')]^{3/2}} (1 + Q^2/4M^2)^{-1/2} \left\{ (s + s' + Q^2) \cos(\omega_1 + \omega_2) + \frac{1}{M} \xi(s, Q^2, s') \sin(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \right\} \quad (3)$$

Here  $\lambda(a, b, c) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2(ab + ac + bc)$ ,  $\xi = \sqrt{ss'Q^2 - M^2\lambda(s, -Q^2, s')}$ ,

$\omega_1, \omega_2$  – the parameters of Wigner rotation:

$$\omega_1 = \arctan \frac{\xi(s, Q^2, s')}{M[(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s'})^2 + Q^2] + \sqrt{ss'}(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s'})},$$

$$\omega_2 = \arctan \frac{(2M + \sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s'})\xi(s, Q^2, s')}{M(s + s' + Q^2)(2M + \sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s'}) + \sqrt{ss'}(4M^2 + Q^2)} \quad (4)$$

$\theta(s, Q^2, s') = \vartheta(s' - s_1) - \vartheta(s' - s_2)$ ,  $\vartheta$  – the step function,

$$s_{1,2} = 2M^2 + \frac{1}{2M^2}(2M^2 + Q^2)(s - 2M^2) \mp \frac{1}{2M^2}\sqrt{Q^2(Q^2 + 4M^2)s(s - 4M^2)}.$$

Free two-particle form factors were first introduced in [12] and were used in [13] – [16] for the description of composite systems in the frame of the modified dispersion approach. In fact, the form factor  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$  in (2) is a regular distribution (generalized function) and is a linear continuous functional on a test functions space [17].

To be used for pion form factor the functional given by  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$  is to be defined on the space of functions  $\varphi(s, s') = \psi(s)\psi(s')$  using the following prescription [4]:

$$F_\pi(Q^2) = \int d\sqrt{s} d\sqrt{s'} \psi(s) g_0(s, Q^2, s') \psi(s') \quad (5)$$

$\psi$  are functions normalized with the relativistic density of states:  $\psi(s) = \sqrt[4]{s} u(k) k$ ;  $u(k)$  are the two-quark wave functions of the relative motion  $S$  – state,  $s = 4(k^2 + M^2)$ .

So, to obtain the asymptotic behavior of the pion form factor one has to evaluate the asymptotics at  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M \rightarrow 0$  of the generalized function  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$ , this function defined on a certain class of functions giving the pion form factor.

The constituent-quark mass  $M$  and the momentum-transfer square  $Q^2$  are the parameters of generalized function  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$ . So the limits  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $M \rightarrow 0$  are to be considered in the generalized sense (in the sense of distributions) as the limits of generalized functional. The possibility of realizing the calculation of this limit for the generalized function  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$  can be justified physically by the fact that the pion in the asymptotic region can be considered as a free quark system with pion quantum numbers and the electromagnetic properties of such system are defined just by the free two-particle form factor  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$ .

To obtain the asymptotic expansion of the generalized function we shall make the following assumptions.

1. Let the generalized function be given on the space  $R^2$ . During the calculation of (5) we have not used such assumption, in (5) the variables  $s$ ,  $s'$  were in the physical region  $s, s' \geq 4M^2$ .

2. For the test function space let us take as usually the space  $\mathcal{S}(R^2)$  of infinitely differentiable functions, decreasing at  $|\vec{s}| \rightarrow \infty$  ( $\vec{s} = (s, s')$ ) with all its derivatives faster than any degree of  $1/|\vec{s}|$  [17]. This means that test functions decrease not slower than  $\exp(-\beta |\vec{s}|^\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ .

3. Let us define the functional giving the regular generalized function by

$$\langle \tilde{g}_0(s, Q^2, s'), \varphi(s, s') \rangle = \int d\mu(s, s') \tilde{g}_0(s, Q^2, s') \varphi(s, s'). \quad (6)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_0(s, Q^2, s') &= 16 \vartheta(s - 4M^2) \vartheta(s' - 4M^2) g_0(s, Q^2, s') \\ d\mu(s, s') &= \sqrt[4]{ss'} d\mu(s) d\mu(s'), \quad d\mu(s) = \frac{1}{4} k d\sqrt{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$\vartheta(x)$  – the standard step function. If  $\varphi(s, s') = u(k(s)) u(k'(s'))$  then the functional (6) has the form (5).

In fact the calculation of the asymptotics of the generalized function is just the calculation of the asymptotics of the functional (6) when  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M \rightarrow 0$ .

To estimate the asymptotics of the integral in (6) let us realize the following steps:

1. Let us transform the integral in  $s'$  to the integral on the segment  $[0,1]$ . To perform this transformation we use the fact that the free two-particle form factor  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$  contains the cutting functions

$$\vartheta(s' - s_1(s, Q^2)) - \vartheta(s' - s_2(s, Q^2)) .$$

2. Let us introduce the function  $\tilde{\varphi}(s, s')$  which is monotone decreasing function of the variable  $s$  when  $s'$  is fixed and of  $s'$  when  $s$  is fixed ( $s, s' > 0$ ) and such that

$$|\varphi(s, s') - \tilde{\varphi}(s, s')| \rightarrow 0, \quad |\vec{s}| \rightarrow \infty \quad (8)$$

3. Let us introduce two dimensionless parameters and let us realize the limiting procedure keeping  $\eta = Q^2/b^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\xi = b/2M \rightarrow \infty$ .

4. In the integral in  $s$  let us introduce the new variable  $y = E/2M$ ,  $s = 4M^2 + 2ME$ , and let us separate explicitly the dependence on the parameter  $\eta$  introduced above.

5. Let us restrict ourselves by the main term of the integrand in the functional (6) when  $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ . This can be done because the integrals do converge uniformly.

As the result we obtain the following estimate for the functional (6) when  $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\begin{aligned} < \tilde{g}_0(s, Q^2, s'), \varphi(s, s') > \sim \frac{1}{16} \sqrt[4]{\eta} \left( \frac{2M}{b} \right)^{5/2} b^3 \int_0^\infty dy \frac{\sqrt{y}}{(1+y)^{1/4}} \cdot \\ & \cdot \int_0^1 dt \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s})}{a(t)^{1/4}} \frac{1}{[\sqrt{y}(2t-1) + \sqrt{1+y}]^2} \cdot \\ & \cdot \left\{ \left[ \sqrt{y}(2t-1) + \sqrt{1+y} \right] \cos(\tilde{\omega}_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2) + 2\sqrt{y}\sqrt{t(1-t)} \sin(\tilde{\omega}_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2) \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In (9):  $\tilde{\omega}_{1,2}$  - are the main terms in the expansion of the spin rotation parameters which enter  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$  [4],

$$\tilde{s} = \eta b^2 a(t) = \eta b^2 \left( 1 + \frac{s - 4M^2}{2M^2} + \frac{1}{2M^2} (2t-1) \sqrt{s(s - 4M^2)} \right) .$$

To estimate the inner integral in (9) let us separate explicitly the parameter  $\xi$ , introduced above and let us obtain the asymptotics of the integral when  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ . The main term has the following form:

$$J(\eta, \xi, y) \sim \sqrt{\frac{b}{2\xi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{s-4M^2}} \int_0^1 dt \tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0(t)) f(t, y), \quad (10)$$

$$f(t, y) = \frac{t^{1/4}}{[\sqrt{y}(2t-1) + \sqrt{1+y}]^2} \cdot \left\{ \sqrt{y}(2t-1) + \sqrt{1+y} + 2\sqrt{y}(1-t) \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Here

$$\tilde{s}_0(t) = 4\eta\xi^2(s-4M^2) \left( 1 + \frac{b^2}{2(s-4M^2)\xi^2} \right) t. \quad (12)$$

To obtain (11) we have used the following forms valid when

$\xi \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\cos(\tilde{\omega}_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2) \sim \sqrt{t}, \quad \sin(\tilde{\omega}_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2) \sim \sqrt{1-t}.$$

Let us show that it is a small  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the point  $t = 0$  that gives the main contribution to the asymptotic expansion of the integral (11) (see [18]). To do this let us estimate the value at  $\eta, \xi \rightarrow \infty$  of the following integral:

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^1 dt \tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0(t)) f(t, y), \quad (13)$$

where  $\varepsilon$  has a small but fixed value. The function  $\tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0(t))$  is a monotone decreasing function of the variable  $t$  because of (12) and thus

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0(t))| \leq |\tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0(\varepsilon))|.$$

So the following is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\varepsilon}^1 dt \tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0(t)) f(t, y) \right| &\leq \int_{\varepsilon}^1 dt |\tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0(t))| |f(t, y)| \leq \\ &\leq |\tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0(\varepsilon))| \int_{\varepsilon}^1 dt |f(t, y)|. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The last integral in (14) converges if the function  $f(t, y)$  is given by (11). It follows from (8) that the rate of decreasing of the function  $|\tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0(\varepsilon))|$  is stronger than any inverse power of

$\tilde{s}_0(\varepsilon)$ , or, by (12), stronger than any inverse power of  $\eta$  and  $\xi^2$ . So, the main contribution to the asymptotics of the integral (10) is given by a small neighborhood of the point  $t = 0$  and is of power law.

Let us now write the following expansion in the  $\varepsilon$ -vicinity of the point  $t = 0$ :

$$\tilde{\varphi}(s, \tilde{s}_0) \simeq \tilde{\varphi}(s, 0) + 4\eta\xi^2(s - 4M^2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{b^2}{s - 4M^2} \frac{1}{\xi^2}\right) \tilde{\varphi}'_{s'}(s, 0) t$$

After simple transformations we now obtain the following form for the asymptotics of the integral in (10):

$$J(\eta, \xi, y) \sim \sqrt{\frac{b}{2\xi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{s - 4M^2}} \tilde{\varphi}(s, 0) \frac{\sqrt{y+1} + \sqrt{y}}{(\sqrt{y+1} - \sqrt{y})^2} \cdot \int_0^\varepsilon dt t^{1/4} \exp \left[ -4\eta\xi^2(s - 4M^2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{b^2}{s - 4M^2} \frac{1}{\xi^2}\right) \left| \frac{\tilde{\varphi}'_{s'}(s, 0)}{\tilde{\varphi}(s, 0)} \right| t \right]. \quad (15)$$

The appearance of the absolute value in (15) is the consequence of the monotonicity of the function  $\tilde{\varphi}(s, s')$ . Let us use the new variable in (15):

$$v = 4\xi^2(s - 4M^2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{b^2}{s - 4M^2} \frac{1}{\xi^2}\right) \left| \frac{\tilde{\varphi}'_{s'}(s, 0)}{\tilde{\varphi}(s, 0)} \right| t.$$

and take into account the fact that to within exponentially decreasing terms the following estimate is valid [18]:

$$\int_0^{\varepsilon_v} dv v^{1/4} e^{-\eta v} \simeq \int_0^\infty dv v^{1/4} e^{-\eta v} = \frac{1}{\eta^{5/4}} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right).$$

Here  $\Gamma(x)$  is Euler gamma-function.

Thus, we have the following asymptotic estimate for the integral (15):

$$J(\eta, \xi, y) \sim \sqrt{\frac{b}{2\xi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{s - 4M^2}} \tilde{\varphi}(s, 0) \frac{\sqrt{y+1} + \sqrt{y}}{(\sqrt{y+1} - \sqrt{y})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(2\xi)^{5/2}} \left[ \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(s, 0)}{|\tilde{\varphi}'_{s'}(s, 0)|} \right]^{5/4} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)}{(s - 2M^2)^{5/4}} \frac{1}{\eta^{5/4}}.$$

Let us now substitute this estimate in Eq. (9) and return to the  $s$  - integration:

$$< \tilde{g}_0(s, Q^2, s'), \varphi(s, s') > \sim \frac{1}{4} \frac{M^4}{Q^2} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right) \int d\sqrt{s} \frac{\sqrt[4]{s(s - 4M^2)}}{(s - 2M^2)^{5/4}}.$$

$$\cdot \frac{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s - 4M^2}}{(\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{s - 4M^2})^2} \left| \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(s, 0)}{\tilde{\varphi}'_{s'}(s, 0)} \right|^{5/4} \tilde{\varphi}(s, 0) . \quad (16)$$

Up to a preasymptotic factor one can write (16) in the form:

$$< \tilde{g}_0(s, Q^2, s'), \varphi(s, s') > \sim < \frac{1}{Q^2} g(s, s'), \varphi(s, s') > . \quad (17)$$

In the Eq.(17) the function  $g(s, s')$  is given by:

$$g(s, s') = M^4 \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{s(s - 4M^2)}} \frac{1}{(s - 2M^2)^{5/4}} \frac{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s - 4M^2}}{(\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{s - 4M^2})^2} \cdot \vartheta(s - 4M^2) \delta(\mu(s') - \mu(s)) .$$

Finally, we proved that in the sense of distributions the following asymptotic estimate is valid:

$$\tilde{g}_0(s, Q^2, s') \sim \frac{1}{Q^2} g(s, s') , \quad Q^2 \rightarrow \infty , \quad M \rightarrow 0 . \quad (18)$$

Let us note that this result is also valid in the case when the test function space is larger than  $\mathcal{S}(R^2)$ . It is necessary only that the test functions ensure the uniform convergence of the integrals entering the functional (6).

Applying the result (18) to the Eq.(5), one can see that the asymptotics does not depend on the choose of the functions  $u(k)$ , that is on the concrete form of the quark interaction in pion.

Thus, we obtained the pion form factor asymptotics which 1) coincides with QCD asymptotics (1), 2) does not depend on the interaction model for quarks in pion, 3) is defined by the free two-particle form factor considered as generalized function. This free two-particle form factor is obtained through relativistic kinematics method, so the asymptotics is due to the relativistic kinematics.

Let us compare our result with the results obtained by other approaches. The asymptotic behavior of the form factor of nucleon considered as pion – nucleon bound state in  $P_{11}$  – channel was considered in [15]. The asymptotics of the electromagnetic form factor was obtained in terms of the asymptotics of the  $\delta_{11}$  phase shift of elastic  $\pi N$  – scattering. The system, as in the our case, contains two constituents. However, those constituents are real particles with spins 0 and 1/2, so that two results can not be compared directly. Nevertheless,



there is some kind of similarity of results. The formal limit  $m_\pi, m_N \rightarrow 0$  gives the asymptotics of electromagnetic form factor of the composite system [15] which does not depend on the character of constituents interaction: the asymptotics ceases to depend on the pion–nucleon scattering phase shift.

In the frame of light front RHD [19] the QCD type asymptotic behavior of pion form factor when  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M \rightarrow 0$  was obtained in the case of special power form restriction for the wave function asymptotics. In papers [20], [21] the asymptotics of the form (1) was found for the gaussian wave function.

There is a principal difference between our results and the results of above mentioned papers. In those papers the results are the cosequence of the structure of the argument of the wave function for interacting quarks and of the special limitations for wave function asymptotics. It seems difficult to interpret this fact from the asymptotic freedom point of view which in fact is the base of well known result (1). So the behavior of the two–quark system form factor in the range  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ , where quarks are free, appears to be a kind of ”memory” about the quark interaction.

In our approach the result coinciding with (1) follows directly from relativistic kinematics which is valid for all energies. Let us emphasize that if we neglect, for example, the relativistic spin rotation effect (if we let the rotation parameters  $\omega_{1,2}$  in  $g_0(s, Q^2, s')$  be zero) then the asymptotics of the generalized function in (18) is immediately changed. This is in contrast with the case of light front dynamics. It is easy to show that the analog of the relativistic spin rotation in the light front dynamics – Melosh rotation [20] – does not affect the pion form factor asymptotics.

Let us give now the results for pion form factor asymptotics in the cases of harmonic oscillator model [20]:

$$u(k) = N_{HO} \exp(-k^2/2b^2) . \quad (19)$$

and for power law wave function [22], [23]:

$$u(k) = N_{PL} (k^2/b^2 + 1)^{-n} . \quad (20)$$

The gaussian form of wave function makes the problem of the calculation of asymptotics

much simpler because in this case one can use the theorems from [18].

We use the model (19) to demonstrate the role of relativism and, in particular, the role of the relativistic spin rotation effect. The estimate for the pion form factor including relativistic spin rotation effect gives

$$F_\pi(Q^2) \sim 32\sqrt{2}\frac{b^2}{Q^2}, \quad (21)$$

while without relativistic spin rotation:

$$F_\pi(Q^2) \sim 4\sqrt{2}\frac{M}{Q}. \quad (22)$$

The contribution of the relativistic spin rotation is essential. If we neglect this contribution, we do not obtain correct (i.e. coinciding with QCD) asymptotics.

To clear up the role of relativism in our calculation let us write the nonrelativistic asymptotics obtained from the asymptotic estimate of nonrelativistic limit of Eq. (5) in the case of the model (19):

$$F_\pi(Q^2) \sim 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\frac{Q}{2M}}\exp\left(-\frac{Q^2}{8b^2}\right). \quad (23)$$

The nonrelativistic asymptotics gives Gaussian decrease for the form factor. The comparison of (21) and (22) with (23) emphasizes the role of relativism. Let us note, that in (21)–(23) the explicit form of the normalization constant in (19) is taken into account:  $N_{HO} = (4/\sqrt{\pi}b^3)^{1/2}$ .

In the case of the model (20) the form-factor asymptotics has the form:

$$F_\pi(Q^2) \sim 2\frac{b^2}{Q^2} (b^3 N_{PL}^2) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)}{n^{5/4}} B\left(\frac{5}{4}, n - \frac{5}{4}\right), \quad n > \frac{5}{4} \quad (24)$$

Here  $B(x, y)$  is Euler beta-function. Note, that (24) shows that the asymptotic (in the sense of distributions) form (18) is really valid for the test function space larger than  $\mathcal{S}(R^2)$ .

Let us note, that the asymptotic forms (21) and (24) do not contain the dimension parameter of quark mass. This fact is connected with the physics of asymptotically large momentum transfers when all scales are determined by the confinement scale. In the models (19) and (20) it is  $b$  that plays in fact the role of such parameter.

To conclude, let us formulate our main results. 1) In the frame of instant form relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics the asymptotics at  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M \rightarrow 0$  of the pion form factor coincides with that given by perturbative QCD. 2) The asymptotics is determined only by the relativistic kinematics, in particular, by the relativistic spin rotation effect, and does not depend on the choice of quark wave function in pion, i.e. on the model for quark interaction.

The authors thank A.I.Kirillov for helpful discussion and valuable comments.

The work is supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Researches, Grant No. 96 – 02 – 17288.

## References

- [1] W.N. Polyzou, *Ann.Phys.* **193**, 367 (1989).
- [2] B.D. Keister, W.N. Polyzou, *Adv. in Nucl.Phys.*, **20**, 225 (1991).
- [3] F.M. Lev, *Rev. Nuovo Cim.*, **16**, 1 (1993); *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)*, **237**, 355 (1995).
- [4] E.V. Balandina E.V., A.F. Krutov, and V.E. Troitsky, *Teor.Mat.Fiz.*, **103**, 41 (1995).
- [5] E.V. Balandina, A.F. Krutov, and V.E. Troitsky , *J.Phys.G.*, **22**, 1585 (1996).
- [6] A.F. Krutov and V.E. Troitsky, *E-print "Electroweak properties of light mesons in relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics"*, **hep-ph/9704293**.
- [7] A.F. Krutov and V.E. Troitsky, *E-print "Instant-form approach to two-body systems"*, **hep-ph/9707533**.
- [8] A.F. Krutov and V.E. Troitsky, *E-print "Relativistic effects in two-body systems:  $\pi$ - and  $K$ -mesons and deuteron"*, **hep-ph9707534**.
- [9] N. Isgur and C.H. Llewellyn Smith, *Nucl.Phys.B.*, **317**, 526, (1989).
- [10] V.A. Matveev, *Proceedings of the International Seminar "Quarks'94"*, World Scientific, p.41 (1994).
- [11] A.F. Krutov and V.E. Troitsky, *J.Phys.G.*, **19**, L127 (1993).
- [12] V.E. Troitsky and Yu.M. Shirokov, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.*, **1**, 213 (1969).
- [13] V.P. Kozhevnikov, V.E. Troitsky, S.V. Trubnikov, and Yu.M. Shirokov, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.*, **10**, 47, (1972).
- [14] V.I. Kukulin, V.E. Troitsky, Yu.M. Shirokov, and S.V. Trubnikov, *Phys.Lett.B.*, **39**, 319, (1972).
- [15] A.I. Kirillov, Yu.M. Shirokov, and V.E. Troitsky, *Phys.Lett.B*, **39**, 249, (1972).

- [16] A.I. Kirillov, V.E. Troitsky, S.V. Trubnikov, and Yu.M. Shirokov, *Fiz. Elem. Chastits At. Yadra*, **6**,3, (1975).
- [17] V.S. Vladimirov, *Generalized functions in mathematical physics* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1976).
- [18] F.W.J. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, Ac.Press, NY and London (1988).
- [19] M.V. Terentiev, *Yad. Fiz.*, **24**, 207 (1976).
- [20] P.L. Chung, F. Coester, and W.N. Polyzou, *Phys. Lett.B.*, **205**, 545 (1988).
- [21] B.D. Keister, *Phys. Rev. D*, **49**, 1500 (1994).
- [22] F. Cardarelli, I.M. Grach, I.M. Narodetskii, E. Pace, G. Salmeé , and S. Simula, *Phys. Lett. B*, **332**, 1 (1994).
- [23] F. Schlumpf, *Phys. Rev. D*, **50**, 6895 (1994).